## Annual (April 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015) Performance Evaluation Report in respect of RFD 2014-2015 of RSCs i.e. Institutes

Name of the Division: Natural Resource Management Division

Name of the Institution: ICAR-Indian Institute of Soil & Water Conservation (IISWC), Dehradun (Uttarakhand)

RFD Nodal Officer of the RSC: Dr. B.L. Dhyani, Pr. Scientist

S.	Objectives	Weight	Actions	Success Indicators	Unit	Weight		Target/(	Criteria	Values	ues Achieve- Performance		ormance	Percent	Reasons for	
No.							Excellent 100%	Very good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%	ments		Weighte d Score	achievements against Target values of 90% Col.	shortfalls or excessive achievements, if applicable
1.	Generation of suitable resource conservation	27	Assessment of natural resources status	GIS based thematic maps prepared/ database created	No.	9	8	7	6	5	4	8	100	9	114.3	Within range of excellent
	technologies for controlling land degradation and sustainable		Developing resource conserving technologies	Resource conserving technologies/ products / farm plans for arable lands developed	No.	9	5	4	3	2	1	4	90	8.1	100.0	
	production from rehabilitated degraded lands			Resource conserving technologies/ plans/ products for non-arable lands developed	No.	9	4	3	2	1	0	4	100	9	133.3	Within range of excellent
2.	Evaluationofhydrologicalbehaviourandmanagementofwatershedsforimprovingwater	26	(SWC) and water	Technologies / products for SWC and surface and ground water harvesting and recycling developed	No.	7	4	3	2	1	0	3	90	6.3	100.0	
	regime and reducing sediment		on Integrated	Watershed area planned / treated	ha	10	640	533	426	309	212	673.5	100*	10	126.4	It is demand driven
	yield		Development (IWD)	Organize awareness camps		9	22	18	14	10	6	20	95	8.6	111.1	Within range of excellent
3	Human resource	27	Creation of awareness	Trainings organized	No.	9	116	97	78	29	40	91	86.8	7.81	93.8	
	development and capacity building		& skill sharpening	workshop / in-plant training for graduate & post-graduate students / summer & winter school, etc. organized	No.	3	28	23	18	13	8	23	90	2.7	100.0	
				Extension material developed/ published	No.	6	20	17	14	11	8	18	93.3 3	5.6	105.8	Within range of excellent

S.	Objectives	Weight	Actions	Success Indicators	Unit	Weight	t Target/Criteria Values				Achieve-	Perf	ormance	Percent	Reasons for	
No.							Excellent 100%	Very good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70 <i>%</i>	Poor 60%	ments	Raw Scor e	Weighte d Score	achievements against Target values of 90% Col.	shortfalls or excessive achievements, if applicable
			Transfer of resource conserving technologies in farmers fields	Demonstrations conducted on resource conservation technologies		9	486	405	324	243	162	437	94.0	8.46	107.9	Within range of excellent
*	Publication/Docume ntation	5	Publication of the research articles in the journals having the NAAS rating of 6.0 and above	Research articles published	No.	3	36	30	24	18	12	30	90	2.7	100.0	
			Timely publication of the Institute Annual Report (2013-14)	Annual Report published	Date	2	June 30, 2014	July 2, 2014	July 4, 2014	July 7, 2014	July 9, 2014	31 May 2014	100	2	-	
*	Fiscal resource management	2	Utilization of released plan fund	Plan fund utilized	%	2	98	96	94	92	90	97.3	96.5	1.93	-	
*	Efficient Functioning of the RFD System	3	Timely submission of Draft RFD for 2014- 2015 for Approval	On-time submission	Date	2	May 15, 2014	May 16, 2014	May 19, 2014	May 20, 2014	May 21, 2014	May 3, 2015	0	0	-	
			Timely submission of Results for 2013-2014	On-time submission	Date	1	May 1 2014	May 2 2014	May 5 2014	May 6, 2014	May 7, 2014	31 March, 2014	100	1	-	
*	Enhanced Transparency / Improved Service delivery of Ministry /Department	3	Rating from Independent Audit of implementation of Citizens'/Clients' Charter (CCC)	Degree of implementation of commitments in CCC	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2	-	
			Independent Audit of implementation of Grievance Redress Management (GRM) system	Degree of success in implementing GRM	%	1	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	1	-	
*	Administrative Reforms	7	Update organizational strategy to align with revised priorities	Date	Date	2	Nov.1 2014	Nov.2 2014	Nov. 3 2014	Nov.4 , 2014	Nov.5 , 2014	22 Oct., 2014	100	2	-	

S.	Objectives	Weight	Actions	Success Indicators	Unit	Weight		Target/Criteria Values				Achieve- Performance		Percent	<b>Reasons for</b>	
No.							Excellent 100%	Very good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%	ments		Weighte d Score	achievements against Target values of 90% Col.	shortfalls or excessive achievements, if applicable
			Implementation of agreed milestones of approved Mitigating Strategies for Reduction of potential risk of corruption (MSC).	% of Implementation	%	1	100	90	80	70	60	90	90	0.9	_	
			Implementation of agreed milestones for ISO 9001	% of implementation	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2	-	
			Implementation of milestones of approved Innovation Action Plans (IAPs).	% of implementation	%	2	100	90	80	70	60	90	90	1.8	-	

Total Composite Score: 92.90 Rating: Very Good

## ANNEXURE-I

Actual Scientific Staff in position in the Institute and their research articles publications published in International and National Journals having NAAS rating 6.00 or more during April 1, 2014-March 31, 2015

Name of the Division: Natural Resource Management Division

Name of the Institute: ICAR-Indian Institute of Soil & Water Conservation (IISWC)

S. No.	Category of Scientific Staff	Actual Scientific Staff in position (Nos.)	Research articles publications as first /corresponding author (Nos.)	Publicationproductivity(NumberofresearcharticlespublicationsdividedbynumberofScientists)
1.	Principal Scientist	35	04	0.11
2.	Senior Scientist	21	07	0.33
3.	Scientist	40	06	0.15
	Total	96	17	0.18